Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 49645 SANTA ANITA RECLAMATION PROJECT, LLC RN105389514 Docket No. 2014-1698-MLM-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

MLM - IHW & MSW

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Santa Anita Reclamation, 25385 Farm-to-Market Road 1017, Linn, Hidalgo County

Type of Operation:

Land Reclamation Project Using Tires ("LRPUT")

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: June 12, 2015

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$14,782

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$2,956 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$346

Total Due to General Revenue: \$11,480

Payment Plan: 35 payments of \$328 each

Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Satisfactory

Major Source: Yes

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A Applicable Penalty Policy: April 2014

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 49645 SANTA ANITA RECLAMATION PROJECT, LLC RN105389514 Docket No. 2014-1698-MLM-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: July 3, 2014 Date(s) of NOE(s): October 3, 2014

Violation Information

- 1. Caused, suffered, allowed, or permitted the unauthorized storage of industrial solid waste ("ISW"). Specifically, 123 super sacks of fiber fluff were being stored at the Facility [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 335.4].
- 2. Failed to adhere to the approved LRPUT application. Specifically, approximately 357 scrap tires and 29 off-road tires which were too big for the tire shredder were being stored on the ground at the Facility and not in enclosed, lockable containers [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 328.63(c)(4) and TCEQ LRPUT Registration ID No. 6200592, Section 9.0].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

The Respondent:

- a. No longer owns or operates the Facility as of November 18, 2014; and
- b. Submitted documentation demonstrating that all unauthorized ISW being stored at the Facility was removed by January 15, 2015.

Technical Requirements:

N/A

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A

Settlement Date: N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 49645 SANTA ANITA RECLAMATION PROJECT, LLC RN105389514 Docket No. 2014-1698-MLM-E

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Keith Frank, Enforcement Division, Enforcement

Team 6, MC 128, (512) 239-1203; Candy Garrett, Enforcement Division, MC 219,

(512) 239-1456

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Lance Walker, Owner, SANTA ANITA RECLAMATION PROJECT, LLC,

126 South Stewart Road, San Juan, Texas 78589-3186

Respondent's Attorney: N/A

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) PCW Revision March 26, 2014 Policy Revision 4 (April 2014) 6-Oct-2014 **Assigned** Screening 10-Oct-2014 **EPA Due** 15-Jan-2015 RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent SANTA ANITA RECLAMATION PROJECT, LLC Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN105389514 Facility/Site Region 15-Harlingen Major/Minor Source Major CASE INFORMATION No. of Violations 2 Enf./Case ID No. 49645 Docket No. 2014-1698-MLM-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Industrial and Hazardous Waste Government/Non-Profit No Enf. Coordinator Keith Frank Multi-Media Waste Tires EC's Team Enforcement Team 6 \$0 Maximum \$25,000 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 \$15,000 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. **Compliance History** 0.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$0 No adjustment for compliance history. Note: 0.0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 \$0 Culpability No The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments -\$750 **Economic Benefit** 0.0% Enhancement* Subtotal 6 \$0 Total EB Amounts Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount \$780 Estimated Cost of Compliance \$9,705 **SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7** Final Subtotal \$14,250 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 3.7% Adjustment \$532 Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. Recommended enhancement to capture the avoided cost of compliance Notes

associated with violation no. 2.

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g.

Final Penalty Amount

Final Assessed Penalty

Adjustment

Reduction

20.0%

\$14,782

\$14,782

-\$2,956

\$11,826

PCW

Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)

PCW Revision March 26, 2014

Screening Date 10-Oct-2014

Respondent SANTA ANITA RECLAMATION PROJECT, LLC

Case ID No. 49645

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN105389514

Media [Statute] Industrial and Hazardous Waste

Enf. Coordinator Keith Frank

omponent	Number of	Enter Num	ber Here	Adjust
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	-0		0%
	Other written NOVs	0		0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0		0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission			0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0		0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government			0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0		0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0		0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	. 0		0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0		0%.
	Ple	ase Enter Y	es or No	7
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No		0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No		0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	4	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No		0%
	Adjustment Per	centage	(Sub	total 2)
at Violator (No		centage	: (Sub	ototal 3)
***************************************	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)			
Satisfactory	Performer Adjustment Per	centage	(Sub	total 7)
oliance Histo	ry Summary			
Compliance History Notes	No adjustment for compliance history.			
•	No adjustment for compliance history. Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (S	Subtota	ls 2,	3, & 2

Screening Date	979999 188 187 197 197 197 197 197 197 197 197 197 19	PCW
	SANTA ANITA RECLAMATION PROJECT, LLC	Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
Case ID No.		PCW Revision March 26, 2014
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	Industrial and Hazardous Waste	
Enf. Coordinator		adiana.
Violation Number		· ·
Rule Cite(s)		
Kaic alca(a)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 335.4	
THE STATE OF THE S		
Walatian Bassistian	The Respondent caused, suffered, allowed, or permitted the unauthorized sto	
Violation Description	of Industrial solid waste. Specifically, 123 super sacks of fiber fluff were bei stored at the Facility.	illy
TALLED TO THE TA		
	Base Per	nalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Health Matrix	
	Harm	
Release OR Actual	Major Moderate Minor	
Potential	Percent 15.0%	
		A. 6. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
	Percent 0.0%	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		3.33
ssssssssssss Matrix II	or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants the evels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a resu	and the second s
Notes	the violation.	
<u> </u>	**************************************	
	Adjustment \$2	1,250
With the second		10 750
·		\$3,750
Violation Events		
Number of \	Violation Events 2 99 Number of violation days	
	daily distribution.	
	monthly monthly	À
mark only one	quarterly X Violation Base Per	nalty \$7,500
with an x	semiannual semiannual	
	annual Exercises	
	single event	
Two quarterly	y events are recommended based on documentation of the violation during the	July
	3, 2014 investigation to the October 10, 2014 screening date.	
I and the second		
Good Faith Efforts to Com		ction \$750
	Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary	
	Ordinary X N/A (mark with x)	
	N/A (mark with x) The Respondent came into compliance on January 15,	
	Notes 2015, after the Notice of Enforcement dated October 3,	
· working and in the control of the	2014.	
· ·		
We can	Violation Sub	total \$6,750
Essessia Bauses (EB) E-	this violation Statutory Limit Te	•
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation statutory Limit res	
Estimate	ed EB Amount \$247 Violation Final Penalty	Fotal \$7,002
	handa ayan ayan ayan ayan ayan ayan ayan	
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for lin	nits) \$7,002

		Hazardous Waste	!			Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	1					5.0	Depreciatio 1
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description		•					
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	\$9,205	3-Jul-2014	15-Jan-2015	0.54	\$247	n/a	\$247
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	1		strial solid waste	0.00 0.00 from t	\$0 \$0 he Facility and dis	n/a n/a pose of it at an auth	\$0 \$0 norized facility
	per involces s	ubmitted by the R	strial solid waste espondent. Da c	0.00 0.00 e from t te Requ f comp	\$0 \$0 he Facility and dis lired is the investi liance.	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0 norized facility Pate is the dat
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	per involces s	ubmitted by the R	strial solid waste espondent. Da c	0.00 0.00 e from t te Requ f comp	\$0 \$0 he Facility and dis lired is the investi liance.	n/a n/a pose of it at an auth gation date. Final D for one-time avoic \$0	\$0 \$0 norized facility Pate is the dat
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	per involces s	ubmitted by the R	strial solid waste espondent. Da c	from to the Requirement of the R	\$0 \$0 the Facility and dis dired is the investi liance. g item (except 1 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a pose of it at an auti gation date. Final C for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 norized facility Date is the dat led costs) \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	per involces s	ubmitted by the R	strial solid waste espondent. Da c	from te Requestre Requestr	\$0 \$0 the Facility and dis dired is the investi liance. gitem (except 1 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a pose of it at an autigation date. Final C for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 norized facility bate is the dat led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	per involces s	ubmitted by the R	strial solid waste espondent. Da c	0.00 0.00 e from the Requirement of compensation of the compensati	\$0 \$0 the Facility and district is the investiliance. gitem (except 1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a spose of it at an auti gation date. Final D for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 norized facility late is the dat [ed costs] \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	per involces s	ubmitted by the R	strial solid waste espondent. Da c	0.00 0.00 e from the Requirement of comp enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 the Facility and distired is the investillance. Ig item (except 1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a spose of it at an auti gation date. Final D for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 norized facility bate is the dat led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	per involces s	ubmitted by the R	strial solid waste espondent. Da c	0.00 0.00 e from to te Requestrements of comp enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 the Facility and distired is the investillance. Ig item (except 1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a spose of it at an auti gation date. Final C for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 norized facility Pate is the dat So So \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Dection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	per involces s	ubmitted by the R	strial solid waste espondent. Da c	0.00 0.00 e from the Requirement of comp enterin 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 the Facility and distired is the investillance. Ig item (except 1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a n/a spose of it at an auti gation date. Final D for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 norized facility bate is the dat led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening Date		PCW
	SANTA ANITA RECLAMATION PROJECT, LLC	Policy Revision 4 (April 2014)
Case ID No.		PCW Revision March 26, 2014
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		
Enf. Coordinator	Industrial and Hazardous Waste	
Violation Number	keith Frank	
Rule Cite(s)		
Rule Cite(3)	Tires ("LRPUT") Registration ID No. 6200592, Section 9.0	''9
		A 15 A
Violation Description	Failed to adhere to the approved LRPUT application. Specifically, approximately scrap tires and 29 off-road tires which were too big for the tire shredder were to	
Violation Description	stored on the ground at the Facility and not in enclosed, lockable containers	
	Base Per	nalty \$25,000
>> Environmental. Proper	ty and Human Health Matrix	
	Harm	
Release	Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actual		
Potential	Percent 15.0%	
>>Programmatic Matrix	Marian Madanaka Misan	
Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
	Percent 0.0%	
[Manager County		
management Matrix A	or the environment has been exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants the els that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of	
Notes Notes	violation.	n the
<u> </u>		<u> </u>
	Adjustment \$21	1,250
		·/
		\$3,750
Violation Events		
Number of V	iolation Events 2 99 Number of violation days	
	daily	
	weekly	
	monthly	
mark only one	quarterly X Violation Base Per	nalty \$7,500
with an x	semiannual	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	armual	
	single event	
·	SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	
	events are recommended based on documentation of the violation during the Ju	dy 2
1 Wo qualterly	2014 investigation to the October 10, 2014 screening date.	", S
	•	
Good Faith Efforts to Comp		ction \$0
	Before NOE/NOV NOE/NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Extraordinary	
	Ordinary	
	N/A (mark with x)	
	The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for	
	Notes this violation.	
	Violation Sub-	total \$7,500
	Violation Sub-	97,300
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit Tes	it .
Estimate	d EB Amount \$532 Violation Final Penalty 1	Fotal \$7,780
	This violation Pinet Assessed Provides (addison 1.6. 1)	-it-a)
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for lin	nits) \$7,780

		RECLAMATION PR	ROJECT, LLC				
Case ID No.							
eg. Ent. Reference No.							
		Hazardous Waste	!			Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Violation No.	. 2						
						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	1 No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs	:						
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/Construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)		<u> L</u>		0.00	\$0	l n/a l	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before (0.00 0.00 0.00	ng Item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0	1ed costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before (0.00	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	ANNUAL			0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	ANNUAL \$500	IZE [1] avoided	costs before (0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.30	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before (0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$ \$ \$ \$
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel ection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2]	\$500 Estimated a	3-Jul-2014 voided cost to dev	18-Nov-2014 Velop and imple	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.30 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$32 \$0 rocedures designe	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$500 \$0 d to ensure all tires	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$532 \$0 are stored in
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/Equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$500 Estimated a	3-Jul-2014 voided cost to dev	18-Nov-2014 /elop and imple LRPUT applicat	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.30 0.00 nent p	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$32 \$0 rocedures designe	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$500	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$532 \$0 are stored in

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN603280033, RN105389514, Rating Year 2014 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2009, through August 31, 2014.

Customer, Respondent, CN603280033, SANTA ANITA RECLAMATION Classification: SATISFACTORY Rating: 2.50

or Owner/Operator:

PROJECT, LLC

Regulated Entity:

RN105389514, Santa Anita Reclamation

Classification: SATISFACTORY Rating: 2.50

Complexity Points:

Repeat Violator: NO

CH Group:

14 - Other

Location:

25385 FM RD 1017 LINN, TX 78563, HIDALGO COUNTY

TCEQ Region:

REGION 15 - HARLINGEN

ID Number(s):

TIRES REGISTRATION 6200592

TIRES REGISTRATION 6200606

MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE NON PERMITTED ID NUMBER

R15105389514

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2009 to August 31, 2014

Rating Year: 2014

Rating Date: 09/01/2014

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: October 10, 2014

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: October 10, 2009 to October 10, 2014

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Keith Frank

Phone: (512) 239-1203

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

NO NO

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

3) If **YES** for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A

4) If **YES** for #2, who was/were the prior

N/A

owner(s)/operator(s)?

5) If **YES**, when did the change(s) in owner or operator N/A

occur?

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

N/A

B. Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

Item 1	December 31, 2010	(879485)
Item 2	March 08, 2013	(1058108)
Item 3	June 24, 2013	(1086237)
Item 4	August 30, 2013	(1103194)
Item 5	February 19, 2014	(1124097)
Item 6	March 14, 2014	(1144075)
Item 7	May 30, 2014	(1160245)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

N/A

F. Environmental audits:

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs):

NI/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates:

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

N/A

J. Early compliance:

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	Š	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEVAC COMMISCIONI ONI
SANTA ANITA RECLAMATION	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
PROJECT, LLC	§	
RN105389514	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2014-1698-MLM-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On ________, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding SANTA ANITA RECLAMATION PROJECT, LLC ("Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 361 and Tex. Water Code ch. 7. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owned and operated a Land Reclamation Project Using Tires ("LRPUT") at 25385 Farm-to-Market Road 1017 in Linn, Hidalgo County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Facility involves or involved the management of industrial solid waste ("ISW") and municipal solid waste as defined in Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 361.
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about October 8, 2014.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Fourteen Thousand Seven Hundred Eighty-Two Dollars (\$14,782) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Three Hundred Forty-Six Dollars (\$346) of the administrative penalty and Two Thousand Nine Hundred Fifty-Six Dollars (\$2,956) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Eleven Thousand Four Hundred Eighty Dollars (\$11,480) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of Three Hundred Twenty-Eight Dollars (\$328) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent:
 - a. No longer owns or operates the Facility as of November 18, 2014; and
 - b. Submitted documentation demonstrating that all unauthorized ISW being stored at the Facility was removed by January 15, 2015.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Caused, suffered, allowed, or permitted the unauthorized storage of ISW, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 335.4, as documented during an investigation conducted on July 3, 2014. Specifically, 123 super sacks of fiber fluff were being stored at the Facility.
- 2. Failed to adhere to the approved LRPUT application, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 328.63(c)(4) and TCEQ LRPUT Registration ID No. 6200592, Section 9.0, as documented during an investigation conducted on July 3, 2014. Specifically, approximately 357 scrap tires and 29 off-road tires which were too big for the tire shredder were being stored on the ground at the Facility and not in enclosed, lockable containers.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: SANTA ANITA RECLAMATION PROJECT, LLC, Docket No. 2014-1698-MLM-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent.
- 3. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.

SANTA ANITA RECLAMATION PROJECT, LLC DOCKET NO. 2014-1698-MLM-E Page 4

- 4. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 5. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 6. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 7. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
For the Executive Director	12/2/15 Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the do agree to the terms and conditions specified the accepting payment for the penalty amount, is mat	he entity indicated below my signature, and I erein. I further acknowledge that the TCFO in
 I also understand that failure to comply with the and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, read and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, read and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, read and and the failure to compliance history; A negative impact on compliance history; Greater scrutiny of any permit applications. Referral of this case to the Attorney General additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, Increased penalties in any future enforcement and TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by In addition, any falsification of any compliance do 	may result in: s submitted; al's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, or to a collection agency; nent actions; l's Office of any future enforcement actions;
Signature	5-8-15 Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of SANTA ANITA RECLAMATION PROJECT, LLC	Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.